For the Northern District of California

27

28

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 7 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 8 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 9 | |
| 10 | PERFECT 10, INC., No. C 12-01521 WHA |
| 11 | Plaintiff, |
| 12 | v. ORDER DENYING |
| 13 | YANDEX N.V., et al., REQUEST TO FILE SUR-REPLY |
| 14 | Defendants. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Plaintiff has filed a request for leave to file a sur-reply and an accompanying motion to |
| 17 | seal (Dkt. Nos. 170–73). Upon review of the material provisionally under seal, it is clear that the |
| 18 | proposed sur-reply is unnecessary. The request is accordingly DENIED . The accompanying |
| 19 | motion to seal is DENIED AS MOOT . |
| 20 | |
| 21 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 22 | 0.1 |
| 23 | Dated: July 1, 2013. |
| 24 | WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |